International Journal of Advanced Education
www.allpaperjournal.com
Online ISSN:3107-9601
Received: 20-10-2025, Accepted: 19-11-2025, Published: 04-12-2025
Volume 1, Issue 2, 2025, Page No. 9-12

Sociology of knowledge: Understanding the interconnections of science, technology and power

Ganesh Shrirang Nale[1], Bharat Bhauso Raut[2]
[1] Department of Sociology, Central University of Haryana, India
[2] Research Scholar, Annasahab Magar College, Hadapsar, Pune, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract
This article explores the sociology of knowledge by examining how ideas, scientific practices, and technological developments are shaped by social, cultural and political contexts. Beginning with Karl Mannheim's foundational insights on the social conditioning of knowledge, the paper discusses major epistemological traditions in India, highlighting diverse approaches to understanding truth, perception and rationality. The article further analyzes Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's distinction between the inner and outer dimensions of knowledge, emphasizing his call for democratization of education, scientific temper and social justice. Through the lens of Science and Technology Studies (STS), it investigates the interaction between scientific research, technological innovation, capitalism and institutional power. The historical relationship between science and colonialism, as well as contemporary debates on nature, culture and politics, reveal how knowledge production has been used as a tool of governance and control. The article also critiques the myths of social sciences, the impact of Orientalism and Occidentalism, and the need for culturally sensitive and decolonial approaches. Overall, the article argues that knowledge is never neutral; it is embedded in systems of power, shaped by historical forces, and must be directed toward inclusive, ethical and socially meaningful outcomes.

Keywords: Sociology of knowledge, Karl Mannheim, Social conditioning of knowledge, Epistemological traditions in India, Truth and perception, rationality

Introduction

Knowledge is one of the most powerful forces shaping modern society. It structures how individuals perceive the world, how societies organize themselves, how institutions make decisions, and how technological innovations emerge. Yet knowledge does not exist in a vacuum. It develops within specific cultural, historical and political contexts. The Sociology of Knowledge is the discipline that explores this relationship between ideas and the social environment that produces them. In the present era—marked by advanced scientific research, technological dominance, artificial intelligence, climate challenges, and debates over indigenous knowledge—understanding the social foundation of knowledge becomes more significant than ever. This article explores key perspectives on the sociology of knowledge: Karl Mannheim's foundational contributions, philosophical traditions of knowledge in India, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's unique insights into the inner and outer dimensions of knowledge, and contemporary approaches linking science, technology and society. Additionally, the article examines the history of science, the politics of knowledge production, and how global forces such as capitalism, colonialism, orientalism and cultural power influence both natural and social sciences. Through these themes, the article offers a comprehensive analysis of how knowledge functions as a tool of both empowerment and domination in modern societies.

Karl Mannheim and the Foundations of the Sociology of Knowledge

Karl Mannheim was one of the first scholars to systematically argue that knowledge is socially conditioned. In his seminal work *Ideology and Utopia* (1936), Mannheim suggested that ideas are deeply influenced by the social locations of individuals and groups.

Key Contributions of Mannheim

1. Knowledge is rooted in social experience

According to Mannheim, individuals belonging to different social classes or cultural communities develop different worldviews. For example, farmers, industrial workers, scientists, business elites and political leaders may have different perspectives on issues like technology, development or environmental change.

2. Ideology vs. Utopia

Mannheim classified ideas into:

1. Ideologies, which justify existing social arrangements
2. Utopias, which challenge the status quo and inspire transformation

This distinction helps explain why social groups support or resist change.

3. Relationism—not relativism

Mannheim argued that although knowledge is socially conditioned, it is still possible to reach rational understanding through systematic analysis. This approach balances social context with scientific reasoning.

4. Freedom through critical knowledge

For Mannheim, understanding the social roots of ideas helps individuals free themselves from bias and move towards more objective awareness.
His framework laid the foundation for later sociological and anthropological studies that linked scientific knowledge to cultural assumptions, power structures, and institutional interests.

Philosophical Theories of Knowledge in India

India has a rich intellectual tradition that has debated the nature of knowledge (epistemology) for thousands of years.

Indian philosophical schools such as Nyaya, Mimamsa, Vedanta, Buddhism, Jainism, and Charvaka have developed sophisticated theories of knowledge known as pramanas.

Major Pramanas (Sources of Knowledge)
1. Pratyaksha (Perception) – direct sensory experience
2. Anumana (Inference) – logical reasoning
3. Upamana (Comparison/Analogy)
4. Shabda (Verbal Testimony) – respected authority or scripture
5. Arthapatti (Postulation)
6. Anupalabdhi (Non-perception)

Buddhism focused on perception and momentariness, while Nyaya stressed logic and systematic reasoning. Vedanta integrated metaphysical insight with rational enquiry.

Unique Features of Indian Epistemology
1. Combines rationality with spirituality
2. Emphasizes ethical and moral dimensions of knowledge
3. Recognizes both empirical and experiential forms of knowing
4. Values community-based knowledge systems such as agriculture, medicine, and ecology

This intellectual heritage provides a pluralistic and culturally rich foundation for understanding contemporary science and society.

Ambedkar's Enquiry into the Inner and Outer Dimensions of Knowledge
Dr. B.R. Ambedkar made a profound contribution to the sociology of knowledge by distinguishing between the outer and inner dimensions of knowledge.

1. The Outer Dimension
The outer dimension includes material conditions, institutions, social structures and economic systems that determine access to knowledge. Ambedkar observed that in caste-based societies, access to education and scientific knowledge was historically denied to marginalized communities. This inequality created long-term intellectual and social hierarchies. He argued that democratization of education is essential for building an inclusive and just society.

2. The Inner Dimension
The inner dimension refers to values, culture, mental conditioning and worldviews. Ambedkar emphasized that social oppression does not only restrict physical access to knowledge but also influences how individuals think about themselves and their abilities.

Ambedkar's Scientific Temper
Ambedkar advocated for
1. Rationality and critical thinking
2. Scientific temper
3. Ethical use of knowledge
4. Social responsibility of science

He believed that knowledge must contribute to dignity, equality and freedom. His perspective is particularly important in contemporary scientific fields such as biotechnology, digital technologies, and agricultural innovation.

Approaches to the Study of Science, Technology and Society (STS)
Science and Technology Studies (STS) is an interdisciplinary field that examines how scientific knowledge is produced and how technologies shape social life.

Key Themes in STS

1. Science as a social activity
Scientific research is influenced by funding structures, cultural assumptions, political priorities and global economic relations.

2. Technology and everyday life
Digital tools, biotechnology, farming innovations, automation and artificial intelligence have become integral to agriculture, food production, healthcare and communication.

3. Risk, ethics and responsibility
New technologies raise concerns related to privacy, environmental damage, inequality and cultural disruption.

4. Co-production of science and society
Scientific knowledge and societal values shape each other. For example, debates on GM crops or climate change depend on scientific evidence as well as cultural beliefs.

Science and Capital: New Alliances
In the 21st century, science and capitalism have developed complex new alliances. Scientific research is increasingly funded by corporations, international agencies and technologically advanced institutions.

Consequences of Science-Capital Alliances
1. Privatization of research limits public access to knowledge.
2. Agricultural technologies such as GM seeds, fertilizers, and AI-based farming tools are controlled by large companies.
3. Food technology industries rely heavily on proprietary technologies for processing, packaging and distribution.
4. Intellectual property rights often prioritize profit over public welfare.

These trends raise ethical questions about who benefits from scientific progress and who is left behind.

Knowledge and Power: Historical and Contemporary Dimensions
Knowledge has always been linked to power. Michel Foucault famously argued that power operates through knowledge, shaping what societies accept as truth.

History of Science
Historically, scientific discoveries were deeply influenced by:
1. Political systems
2. Religious institutions
3. Cultural beliefs
4. Colonial agendas

During colonial rule, science was used to categorize, measure and control colonized societies. Botanical gardens,

agricultural experiments, forest management and land surveys were designed to serve imperial economic interests.

Colonialism and Knowledge

Colonial knowledge classified indigenous populations, reorganized agrarian systems and reshaped ecological landscapes. Traditional farming techniques, medicinal practices and food cultures were often dismissed as inferior or irrational.

This continues to affect modern scientific institutions and policy-making.

Nature, Culture and Politics

Environmental issues demonstrate how knowledge, culture and politics are intertwined. For example:

1. Climate change debates involve scientific models and political negotiations.
2. Sustainable agriculture requires blending scientific innovation with local knowledge.
3. Water management, biodiversity conservation and food security policies reflect competing interests.

Thus, scientific knowledge becomes a terrain where political and cultural struggles unfold.

Sociology of Social Science Knowledge

Even the social sciences—like sociology, political science, economics and anthropology—are shaped by cultural assumptions and global power relations.

Modern Myths in Social Sciences

Several myths influence modern social science research:

1. The belief that Western scientific methods are universally applicable.
2. The assumption that societies develop in a linear path from "traditional" to "modern."
3. The idea that economic growth automatically leads to social well-being.

These myths often overlook indigenous knowledge, local realities and plural forms of rationality.

Orientalism and Occidentalism

Orientalism

Edward Said's concept of orientalism describes how Western scholars constructed Asia, Africa and the Middle East as exotic, backward or irrational. This justified colonial domination and cultural superiority.

Occidentalism

Occidentalism refers to stereotypes about the West held in non-Western societies—for example, viewing Western culture as entirely materialistic or morally corrupt.

Both forms distort genuine understanding and affect the production of social science knowledge.

Implications for Today

1. Research must avoid cultural bias.
2. Local agricultural practices, traditional food systems and indigenous ecological knowledge should be recognized.
3. Social science must adopt decolonial, inclusive and context-sensitive approaches.

Conclusion

The sociology of knowledge reveals that what we consider "truth" or "science" is shaped by social structures, cultural beliefs and power relations. From Mannheim's foundational insights to Indian philosophical traditions and Ambedkar's vision of emancipatory knowledge, every perspective highlights the need to understand knowledge within its social environment. In today's world—characterized by rapid technological change, climate uncertainty, digital expansion and global economic influence—the study of science, technology and society becomes even more essential. As agriculture and food systems evolve with new scientific innovations, it is crucial to ensure that knowledge serves public welfare, protects ecological balance and promotes social justice.

A truly democratic and sustainable knowledge system must:

1. value diverse cultural perspectives
2. integrate scientific research with local wisdom
3. avoid corporate monopolies over knowledge
4. ensure ethical and inclusive access to education
5. promote scientific temper along with social responsibility

Only such a knowledge system can guide us toward a future that is equitable, environmentally conscious and socially meaningful.

References

1. Ambedkar BR. The annihilation of caste (Original work published 1936). Navayana, 2014.
2. Ambedkar BR. Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Writings and speeches. Government of Maharashtra,1992, 3.
3. Berger PL, Luckmann T. The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge. Anchor Books, 1966.
4. Chakrabarti A. Indian epistemology and metaphysics. Routledge, 2010.
5. Chatterjee P. The nation and its fragments: Colonial and postcolonial histories. Princeton University Press, 1993.
6. Foucault M. Power/knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings. Pantheon, 1980.
7. Foucault M. The archaeology of knowledge. Routledge, 1972.
8. Fuller S. Knowledge management foundations. Routledge, 2002.
9. Harding S. Is science multicultural? Postcolonialisms, feminisms, and epistemologies. Indiana University Press, 1998.
10. Latour B. Science in action: How to follow scientists and engineers through society. Harvard University Press, 1987.
11. Latour B, Woolgar S. Laboratory life: The construction of scientific facts. Princeton University Press, 1986.
12. Mannheim K. Ideology and utopia. Harcourt, Brace & World, 1936.
13. Nandy A. Science, hegemony and violence: A Requiem for modernity. Oxford University Press, 1988.
14. Sardar Z. Thomas Kuhn and the science wars. Icon Books, 2002.
15. Said EW. Orientalism. Pantheon Books, 1978.
16. Shiva V. Monocultures of the mind: Perspectives on biodiversity and biotechnology. Zed Books, 1993.

17. Visvanathan S. A carnival for science: Essays on science, technology and development. Oxford University Press, 2006.
18. Wynne B. Misunderstood misunderstandings: Social identities and public uptake of science. Public Understanding of Science,1992:1(3):281–304.
19. Shankar S, Ram R, Hari H. Assessment of suitability of water quality in and around Kalaburagi, Karnataka on the basis of Water Quality Index (WQI). Environment Conservation Journal,2022:15(3):65–71.
20. Bhuvan KB, Shankar R. Food chemistry. Discovery Publishing House, 2017.
21. Sen A. The argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian culture, history and identity. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2005.